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I develop secure systems that prevent advanced cybersecurity threats targeting hardware vulnerabilities. To that end, my research interests lie at the intersection of cryptography, computer architecture, and digital hardware design.

Trusted computing in hardware is fundamental to information security practices. The basis of security guarantees in digital systems is essentially a set of cryptographic operations executing in a hardware root of trust. Advanced cyberattacks therefore deliberately target hardware layer vulnerabilities, especially in the context of security-critical Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) and Internet-of-Things (IoT) applications—these attacks are difficult to detect and are much harder to thwart from the higher abstraction levels of the system. My research analyzes such vulnerabilities of hardware implementations of cyberinfrastructure. To provide practical security solutions that can be deployed in real-world settings, the systems I develop emphasize implementation security, hardware/software efficiency, and end-to-end system demonstration. I ultimately aim to design tools that can quantify a provable security level for a given threat model and enable automated trade-offs for developers between the desired level of security, performance, and cost.

Proposed Research Plan

I will pursue a research program on hardware-based security consisting of several research thrusts tackling different but related aspects of hardware-targeted attacks. Specifically, I intend to work on applied cryptography, computer architecture security, and the design of trusted hardware for AI/ML with a future focus on automation for hardware security. This research will build on a body of work I developed as a PhD student, post-doctoral researcher, and assistant professor.

1) Applied Cryptography: Efficient and Secure Post-Quantum Cryptosystems. Large-scale communication protocols in use today base their cryptographic security on the difficulty of solving mathematical problems such as integer factorization. Quantum algorithms, however, are proven to solve these problems quickly (in polynomial time)—quantum computers can thus break current cryptographic systems. Recent developments in quantum computing technologies have therefore spurred significant interest in post-quantum (PQ) cryptography alternatives basing security on other mathematical problems such as the shortest vector problem. Recent events showed the growing importance of this field. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is currently consolidating and standardizing PQ algorithms [1] for a large-scale transition from existing to quantum-secure protocols. Others including Google and the German Office of Information Security enforce PQ cryptography [2,3].

There are two major problems with current PQ cryptosystems. First, the algorithms are rather complex and their optimized implementations, especially for constrained/real-time systems like edge/IoT devices, are challenging. My PhD research in this field has resulted in seminal papers. I proposed the first hardware optimization techniques on the fundamental compute unit in lattice-based post-quantum cryptography—the number theoretic transform (NTT)—that resulted in an improved memory organization and datapath area-performance trade-offs, which are now common practice in hardware and software designs. I later showed pre-computation techniques in software that can achieve over 10× efficiency in energy for energy harvesting systems [4], and over 100× reduction in latency for real-time applications using hardware/software co-design [5]. These works made complex lattice-based PQ proposals feasible for the next generation of IoT, embedded, and real-time applications.

The second major challenge of PQ systems is implementation security. Although these algorithms provide theoretical guarantees, their practical implementations can be vulnerable to side-channel attacks. Such attacks exploit the correlation of secret keys to implementation characteristics like execution time, power consumption, or memory access patterns. Physical (hardware-based) side-channels are especially important and difficult to mitigate in embedded settings since the adversary can have
physical access to the device. Even after decades of intense study, side-channels on traditional cryptosystems are still an active area of research. Extending side-channel attacks and countermeasures to PQ algorithms is a non-trivial task, as the majority of these new proposals use fundamentally different arithmetic constructions. My post-doctoral research has demonstrated the first side-channel attacks on post-quantum key exchange protocols [6], breaking algorithms used by Germany and Google, and evaluated at NIST. I have also built low-cost countermeasures through algorithm-specific features [7].

As an assistant professor, I was awarded an NSF CRII and a CAEML NSF IUCRC project as a sole-PI on designing secure and efficient solutions for post-quantum protocols. I am leading a team of graduate students who demonstrated the first side-channel vulnerabilities in 7 post-quantum protocols evaluated at NIST [8–13]. I am also designing a range of flexible, efficient, and side-channel resilient hardware, software, and architectural support solutions [14–17]. This research showed that even constrained devices can use complex PQ encryption including physical attack countermeasures if there is a careful design and security analysis of the underlying PQ algorithm. My ongoing research aims furthering this thrust in the context of end-to-end applications like the PQ Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol. At the same time, I work on extending these techniques to other lattice-based cryptosystems such as homomorphic encryption.

To pursue my future research plans in this topic, I seek collaborations within or across the department on VLSI, circuits, and architecture design to tapeout the world’s first PQ encryption chip along with energy optimization and side-channel security. To that end, I see approximate computing as a special enabler for low-energy designs that are not yet explored. Traditional cryptosystems cannot use approximate computing as a single-bit difference within cryptographic computations would reveal completely different results. However, lattice-based and coding-based PQ constructions such as Learning-with-Errors (LWE) or Medium-Dense-Parity-Check (MDPC) codes work by introducing errors into computations and recovering them later on, providing an opportunity for approximately computed cryptographic systems. More broadly, I also seek collaborations with theoretical cryptographers to develop efficient and secure implementations of their cryptographic constructions. Research on this thrust would require EDA tools for chip tape-out and measurement equipment like high-end oscilloscopes, electromagnetic probes, and microscopes to investigate various side-channels such as power consumption, electromagnetic radiation, and photonic emissions.

2) Trusted Hardware for AI/ML: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) have seen widespread adoptions including safety- and security-critical applications in military, consumer electronics, and healthcare sectors, among others. Enabling trusted execution of AI/ML in hardware is essential for such use-cases. While there is a significant focus on algorithmic and software-level issues, e.g., through adversarial learning [18] and data poisoning [19], hardware aspects of trusted AI/ML are largely unexplored. My research focuses on the trusted hardware design and security enforcement for AI/ML. The goal of this effort is to bring the security concepts of cryptographic hardware to platforms running AI/ML applications. This will effectively broaden the scope of hardware-security research which so far has been limited to cryptographic applications. This is especially important for edge/IoT hardware running AI/ML because adversaries can have physical access to these devices. Transforming lessons from cryptographic attacks/defenses is, however, a non-trivial task as AI/ML has unique compute requirements and building blocks in hardware.

My CAREER award and SRC-funded project are on the side-channel analysis of AI/ML hardware. The goals of these projects are to evaluate the impact of side-channel analysis on AI/ML applications and to develop effective defenses. Side-channel attacks can steal trained AI/ML models that are valuable intellectual properties (IPs). My research has shown that such attacks are even possible on highly-parallelized hardware and are much more effective than mathematical/theoretical attacks using input-output queries [20]. We have also built side-channel countermeasures by transforming solutions used in cryptographic hardware such as masking and hiding to the AI/ML workloads [20–23]. My long-term vision in this line of work is to build provably-secure and automated side-channel
mitigation techniques that allow push-button security and seamless integration to common libraries such as TensorFlow.

Side-channel analysis is just one example of research in this thrust. As I have articulated in position papers [24, 25], there are many other attack vectors such as fault injection attacks, cold boot attacks, hardware Trojans, logic locking, logic encryption/obfuscation, probing and bus snooping attacks, and (micro-)architectural attacks which have been thoroughly studied on cryptographic workloads but are largely unknown for hardware running AI/ML applications. For example, our recent work has shown that while scan-chain attacks are straightforward on cryptographic hardware, they only scale towards AI/ML hardware when coupled with a novel algebraic analysis [26]. There are numerous opportunities in extending and protecting against all these different types of attacks on AI/ML hardware.

I have a particular interest in exploring fault injection attacks in the future. These attacks can disrupt the behavior of the device and cause faulty computations. Such attacks have been shown on cryptographic applications to extract secret keys or to evade access control mechanisms. They can cause critical misclassifications in AI/ML and have the potential to be much more effective than adversarial attacks. Defenses built for adversarial attacks thus cannot protect fault injection attacks by default. Interestingly, recent works have shown that fault injection attacks can execute remotely with software through dynamic-voltage frequency scaling (DVFS) interfaces [27, 28], alleviating the need to have physical access. I recently submitted an Office of Naval Research (ONR) Young Investigator Award proposal on this topic that aims exposing and mitigating software-induced fault injection attacks on critical cyberinfrastructures running AI/ML algorithms. The project will characterize the effects of these attacks, build a fault injection simulator for modeling them, and develop fault-injection aware training to generate resilient neural networks by taking attacks’ effects into account.

3) **Secure Architectures for Heterogeneous/FPGA Cloud Servers.** Multi-tenant use in the cloud servers has well-known security issues and much research has been conducted to mitigate them. But the FPGA usage in cloud is relatively new and cloud providers are starting to experiment with multi-tenant use in cloud FPGA, which means two applications can share the same FPGA fabric at the same time (spatial tenancy), or applications can be paused, moved in and out, and resumed in a time multiplex manner (temporal tenancy). FPGAs enable configuring hardware and thus have superior flexibility and performance compared to multi-core or GPU-based design. This configurability, however, can introduce vulnerabilities on cloud FPGA that doesn’t exist for other systems.

My current funded ONR project focuses on secure and safe virtualization of cloud FPGA-based heterogeneous servers. The goal is to provide memory isolation, reconfiguration determinism, and denial-of-service protection for multi-tenant cloud FPGAs. Since multi-tenancy is not yet supported by commercial cloud FPGA tools, such security and safety aspects currently do not exist in practice. For example, a (temporal) tenant can read the earlier tenants’ residual data without an explicit isolation mechanism, or a tenant can tamper with the bus infrastructure to avoid or delay reconfiguration needs of incoming applications, or even use excessive power to cause device shutdown. My research will explore such unique attack vectors stemming from cloud FPGA-based heterogeneous applications and related defenses for the detection or mitigation of such threats.

Another research direction I pursue is on “remote” physical side-channel attacks on the cloud FPGAs. The configurability of FPGA gives adversaries the capability to program a time-to-digital converter hardware and to infer the power consumption of the entire platform. This in turn can leak sensitive information about the spatial tenant running on the FPGA [29] or even other attached GPU/CPU components [30]. Unfortunately, academic and industrial secure computer architecture solutions like Aegis, Sanctum, Intel SGX, or Arm TrustZone do not consider physical side-channel attacks in their threat model [31]. Therefore, there are no off-the-shelf architectural defenses and I aim to explore a combination of such defenses with RTL- and netlist-level checks for malicious designs.

Although physical side-channels are typically considered as an attack vector, for applications that use no secret information, analog behavior such as power consumption can also be an integrity check.
mechanism—an orthogonal method to evaluate if the device indeed follows the desired set of operations with the desired data. My ongoing research has shown that detecting emerging micro-architectural attacks such as speculation-abusing (Meltdown/Spectre) or rowhammer attacks are possible by observing the anomalies they introduce to expected power consumption [32]. Therefore, I envisage that the use of hardware behavior fingerprinting combined with advanced ML classifiers to be an efficient and architecture-agnostic identifier of otherwise difficult to detect zero-day attacks. My future research is to extend this approach to applications in smart grids, automotive, aerial, advanced manufacturing, and wearable/bio-implementable circuits. To realize this vision, I seek collaboration with researchers in or across the departments with domain-specific knowledge about these applications or about system security. This research would require setting up an infrastructure/environment to test the related devices or systems in such applications and carrying out the attacks.

4) Automation for Hardware Security. Unfortunately, security evaluation and countermeasures for cryptographic and other systems are carried out manually and in an ad-hoc manner for each setting. For example, research on PQ side-channels requires a domain expert to fully understand new algorithms, to know how to implement them on specific platforms, to figure out the associated side-channel vulnerabilities, to propose new countermeasures for effectively mitigating vulnerabilities, and to finally evaluate the proposed solution thoroughly on the target platform with respect to some metric/method. Given that there are N algorithms, M possible implementations, and P side-channel attacks, there is a space of N×M×P configurations to evaluate. Performing an entire side-channel evaluation for a single configuration is typically sufficient today to publish a paper at premier security conferences. Even for that single setting, the evaluation process is error-prone hence each year there is yet another analysis/improvement on prior work. While this procedure may be possible in the short-term, e.g. for PQ cryptosystems, in the long run, we need new tools to automate security analysis. For hardware implementations, I envisage the use of high-level synthesis (HLS) tools that are becoming popular especially for FPGA-based implementations (e.g. Vivado HLS) to produce secure hardware. These tools generate a hardware design from a high-level description like a C program. Recent work showed that existing HLS tools can provide a reasonable design compared to hand-coded hardware for cryptographic applications [33] and academic tools show a similar success for limited use cases [34]. No prior work, however, considered hardware security aspects in their analysis. The main challenge is to express hardware security properties into the tools in such a way that the resulting hardware will have formal guarantees. This research, therefore, requires a collaboration of a domain expert like me with researchers working on electronic design automation (EDA), and test and verification.

Funding Opportunities

Funding opportunities for cybersecurity research are plentiful. I have thus far obtained about $1.9M in funding ($1.4M in personal share) from a range of sources from Department of Defense (DoD) agencies, National Science Foundation, and industry. I have pending proposals totaling about $2M in personal share submitted to such sponsors.

Security against quantum cryptanalysis is a national security issue because quantum computers are likely to be developed by motivated nation states to break into military-grade encryptions. Likewise, hardware security attacks in general and hardware supply-chain problems are likely to be orchestrated by advanced, government-funded organizations. Therefore, DoD is likely to fund this line of research. My engagements in the DoD sphere include AFOSR, DARPA, ONR, and HSARPA. Hardware security flaws in wearable/bio-implementable devices have a direct impact on healthcare, hence this research has potential for National Institute for Health (NIH) proposals. My research has also been sponsored by Semiconductor Research Corporation (SRC) industry liaisons and I have collaborated with researchers in charge of other cybersecurity funding programs at Intel, Google, CISCO, NXP, Lockheed Martin and NIST.
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